

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/996,657 11/29/2001		Charles Raymond Degenhardt	010785-9003-03	6277
23510	7590 12/22/2005		EXAMINER ·	
	BEST & FRIEDRICH PINCKNEY STREET	, LLP	DESAI, RITA J	
P O BOX 180			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MADISON, '	WI 53701		1625	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/996,657	DEGENHARDT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rita J. Desai	1625		

	Rita J. Desai	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice o ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply n	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN Th 06.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecti HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS  2. M. The present amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.		f will not be autored b	0001100
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see No		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>17-19 and 23-25</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>26and 27</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attact	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). <u>11/21/05</u>	
		Rita J. Desai Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1625	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 09/996,657

Art Unit: 1625

Addendum to the Advisory Action.

The IDS filed 11/21/05 has been considered.

The objection to the abstract still stands. Applicants have amended the abstract however it is now too long. Please see MPEP 608.01(b) Part C Language and Format. A shorter version less than 150 words is required.

The rejection of claims 17-19 and 23-25 under 35 USC 112 second para has been withdrawn since applicants have inserted a clear range of 2 to 10. This is not new matter since it is described in the specifications.

The rejection of claims 26 and 27 under 35 USC 112 still stands. Applicants arguments are not completely convincing.

The explanation of the data is convincing however applicants claims are drawn to a multi drug resistant and inhibiting transport protein activity, with specifying which protein and also MDR due to which disease. The specification does not enable the treatment for any and all diseases and, all proteins.

Even though the examiner has made a restriction, a representative number of examples from the elected scope should be enabled. Applicants general description of a hydrocarbon substituent included alkoxy which is not a hydrocarbon. See the definition of R12 and R13 on the bottom of page 7 in the specification. Taking into consideration the description of the substitutions and the lack of working examples commensurate with the scope of the compounds the rejection still stands.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,657

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday,9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R.D. December 15, 2005 Rita J. Desai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625